MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE HELD AT THE COUNCIL OFFICES, STATION ROAD, WIGSTON ON THURSDAY, 14 FEBRUARY 2019 COMMENCING AT 7.00 PM

PRESENT

Councillor L A Bentley (Chair) Councillor Mrs L M Broadley (Vice Chair)

COUNCILLORS

G A Boulter F S Broadley D M Carter D A Gamble J Kaufman Mrs L Kaufman Dr T K Khong Mrs H E Loydall

(Left 8:40 pm)

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE

S J Ball	(Senior Democratic Services Officer / Legal Officer)
M Bennetto	(Arboricutural Officer)
T Boswell	(Senior Planning Control Officer)
D M Gill	(Head of Law & Governance / Monitoring Officer)
R Redford	(Planning Control Team Leader)
A Thorpe	(Head of Planning, Development and Regeneration)

OTHERS IN ATTENDANCE

T Kitchener	(Public Speaker)
Mrs K Rees	(Public Speaker)

44. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

An apology for absence was received from Councillors B Dave and R E R Morris.

45. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

In respect of planning application no. 17/00596/COU, Councillor G A Boulter declared a non-pecuniary interest insofar as he was an active fundraiser for the hospice charity LOROS. He acknowledged that this may give rise to a perception of bias.

In respect of planning application no. 18/00368/FUL, Councillor Mrs H E Loydall declared a non-pecuniary interest insofar as she was acquainted with a person employed by the gymnasium which occupied part of the existing building. She confirmed that she attended the meeting without prejudice and with an open-mind.

46. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING

By affirmation of the meeting, it was

UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED THAT:

The minutes of the meeting of the previous Committee held on 20 December

Development Control Committee

Thursday, 14 February 2019

2018 be taken as read, confirmed and signed.

47. <u>PETITIONS AND DEPUTATIONS</u>

None.

48. <u>REPORT OF THE PLANNING CONTROL TEAM LEADER</u>

48a. <u>APPLICATION NO. 17/00596/COU - 56 PULLMAN ROAD, WIGSTON,</u> <u>LEICESTERSHIRE, LE18 2DB</u>

Having declared a non-pecuniary interest, Councillor G A Boulter left the Chamber and took no part in the debate on the planning application and voting thereon.

The Committee gave consideration to the report (as set out at pages 5 - 10 of the agenda pack) which asked it to determine a planning application for the retention of a café use (Use Class A3) within an existing premises.

The Committee also gave consideration to an e-mail from the Director of Finance at LOROS dated 12 February 2018 in relation to the application. A copy of this e-mail is filed together with this minute at **Appendix 1**.

A debate thereon was had whereby, although the work of the charity itself was unanimously recognised as highly-commendable, the majority of Members decided that the retention of the café use would dilute the continued use of the premises for its primary use within Use Class B8 (warehousing) and, over time, would allow for other noncompliant uses within Use Classes B1a, B1b, B1c, B2 and B8 accordingly.

To otherwise permit the continuation of the café use was held to be contrary to and would threaten the objectives and purposes of Policies 1 and 2 of the Employment Sites SPD and Policy 25 of the emerging Local Plan ("the Polices"), which sought to safeguard classified core employment sites in the Borough, and result in a prolife-ration of non-ancillary or secondary uses elsewhere under similar circumstances.

A number of Members argued that a more flexible and holistic application of the Policies ought to be applied given that the café use to which the application related occupied only approx. 7% of the overall floor space of the building which, in those Members' opinion, did not amount to a significant dilution of the existing Use Class.

In reaching its decision, the Committee was advised of the difficulties arising in the ability to effectively enforce planning policy if inconsistently applied. Members were advised that any dilution of a Use Classes pertaining to core employment sites would weaken policy objectives aimed at directing appropriate town centre regeneration.

It was moved by the Chair, seconded by the Vice-Chair and

RESOLVED THAT:

The application be REFUSED planning permission.

Votes For	5
Votes Against	2
Abstentions	2

Councillor G A Boulter returned to the Chamber.

48b. <u>APPLICATION NO. 18/00368/FUL - 2 CENTRAL AVENUE & 14 LONG STREET,</u> <u>WIGSTON, LEICESTERSHIRE, LE18 2AA</u>

Mr T Kitchener spoke upon the application as an objector. A copy of the objector's representations is filed together with this minute at **Appendix 1**.

Mrs K Rees spoke upon the application as an objector. A copy of the objector's representations is filed together with this minute at **Appendix 2**.

The Committee gave consideration to the report (as set out at pages 11 - 22 of the agenda pack) which asked it to determine a planning application for a change of use from a snooker hall (Use Class D2) to 12 residential units (Use Class C3) with partial demolition of the existing building to create a car park and associated works.

A debate thereon was had whereby most Members accepted that, upon the advice of Officers, there were insubstantial material planning grounds upon which a refusal of permission could be framed. This was because the provision of 12-dwellings, being in such a central and accessible location, was deemed to significantly contribute to the Borough's evidence-based local housing supply and that the proposal, on balance, was considered beneficial to the character and appearance of the Conservation Area by providing a viable and sustainable economic future to the existing building.

In reaching its decision, the Committee was advised that any refusal of permission in order to retain the Winchester Snooker Club was not a material consideration, and would otherwise be procedurally unsound and arguably unlawful. Member were also advised that any prospective appeal on highways grounds could not be successfully defended given the Local Highways Authority's assessment that, in its view, the residual cumulative impacts of the development could be mitigated and were not considered "severe" (in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework).

Notwithstanding the above, Members were unanimous in their reluctance to support the grant of planning permission due to shared concerns with local residents regarding the proposed scheme's impact upon highway and pedestrian safety along the entire lengh of Central Avenue due to increased vehicular movements and the impact of inadequate onsite parking provision. A Member also stated the report did not provide sufficient information regarding the commercial viability of the proposed scheme which, in that Member's opinion, amounted to a material consideration.

It was moved by the Chair, seconded by the Vice-Chair and

RESOLVED THAT:

The application be GRANTED planning permission in accordance with the submitted documents and plans, subject to the prescribed conditions.

Votes For	8
Votes Against	2
Abstentions	0

Councillor D A Gamble left the Chamber.

49. <u>THE BOROUGH COUNCIL OF OADBY & WIGSTON (THE FIRS, WIGSTON) TREE</u> <u>PRESERVATION ORDER 2018 (TPO/0337/TREE)</u>

The Committee gave consideration to the report and appendices (as set out at pages 24 -

32 of the agenda pack) which asked it to confirm, or otherwise, the Borough Council of Oadby and Wigston (The Firs, Wigston) Tree Preservation Order 2018.

It was moved by the Chair, seconded by the Vice-Chair and

RESOLVED THAT:

The Borough Council of Oadby and Wigston (The Firs, Wigston) Tree Preservation Order 2018 be confirmed without modification.

Votes For	7
Votes Against	1
Abstentions	1

THE MEETING CLOSED AT 8.48 PM

<u>بر</u>
Chair
Thursday, 14 March 2019

Printed and published by Democratic Services, Oadby and Wigston Borough Council Council Offices, Station Road, Wigston, Leicestershire, LE18 2DR

(This page is intentionally left blank)

Appendix 1

From: Sent: To: Subject:

Follow Up Flag: Flag Status: Wayne Matts 12 February 2019 17:09 R&R Mailbox LOROS Pullman Road cafe

Follow up Completed

FAO Richard Redford

Dear Richard,

I understand that the planning decision on our application for a café at our Pullman Rd site is coming before the committee this Thursday. As such I wanted to outline why the Café is so important to us.

This is our first venture in to a multi-function site, with a warehouse, shop and Café. We identified a while back, that we needed to have more warehouse space, in particular in the south of the county and this site ticks the box. Donations are the lifeblood of our operation.

As part of our strategy to take our services out into the community, we also identified Oadby and Wigston as a good place to try and create a community hub, where people can go to talk and if successful, people can drop in to learn about the services that LOROS have to offer. There is also a commercial element to it. We need to raise more and more funds to continue and expand on our services. We do get a grant from the NHS, but that is an ever decreasing proportion of our overall funding needs. LOROS has never run a Café and this is our first venture to try and increase revenue.

In summary, this site is perfect for community awareness and commercial viability.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to ask

Kind regards Wayne

Wayne Matts Director of Finance





Being there for you and your family



A local hospice, caring for local people We deliver free, high-quality, compassionate care and support to terminally ill patients, their family and carers.

(This page is intentionally left blank)

Appendix 1

Application No. 18/00368/FUL 2 Central Avenue & 14 Long Street, Wigston, Leicestershire, LE18 2AA

Objector's Representations – Mr T Kitchener

If this application is approved it will be a great loss to the community of Wigston and also the surrounding villages/towns. 'The Winchester' has been revived back to its glory days, offering great facilities for the circa 6500 members that use the facilities. 'The Winchester' is a safe environment for all aged people and allows them to play a sport they enjoy. In previous years 'The Winchester' has offered an academy to teach and nurture talent, without this opportunity to young teenagers and children talent could go wasted with the game suffering overall.

I currently believe that no research has been done on how this will affect the world sport of snooker. 'The Winchester' is a partner of the EPSB and registered with World Snooker, if these plans are approved the sport would lose out massively, therefore I have researched on what needs to be done from a 'Sport England's' point of view as Snooker is recognised by 'Sport England'. I believe that an ANOG (Assessing Needs and Opportunities Guide) has not been carried out, as stated in Sport England's policy.

Furthermore Paragraph 97 of the NPPF states that existing open space, sports and recreational buildings and land, including playing fields, should not be built on unless: an assessment has been undertaken which has clearly shown the open space, buildings or land to be surplus to requirements; or the loss resulting from the proposed development would be replaced by equivalent or better provision in terms of quantity and quality in a suitable location; or the development is for alternative sports and recreational provision, the needs for which clearly outweigh the loss. I believe the above which I have stated have not been answered nor researched from the developers point of view and therefore I wish the local authority to take this into consideration.

Sport England's vision is that everyone in England, regardless of age, background or level of ability, feels able to engage in physical activity and sport. Some will be young, fit and talented, but most will not. We need a sport sector that welcomes everyone - meets their needs, treats them as individuals and values them as customers. (Towards An Active Nation 2016 - 2021). If these plans are approved it would be a dark day for the 6500 members, staff and the local economy and therefore I ask the local authority to get behind the members of the public and object to this application in the attempt to retain a successful business which has been operating for over 50 years.

Appendix 2

<u>Application No. 18/00368/FUL</u> <u>2 Central Avenue & 14 Long Street, Wigston, Leicestershire, LE18 2AA</u>

Objector's Representations - Mrs K Rees

It would be a positive to see one of Wigston Magna's heritage properties given some TLC, however this plan results in overcrowding in an area that already has significant congestion. We have a constant ebb and flow of parked cars visiting businesses, the church and the school run but this plan dumps another 20-odd cars needing long-term parking.

As a Central Avenue resident, I request that the planning decision is deferred to allow for a full impact assessment and greater consultation with residents affected along the entire length of the Avenue. Carrying 5 bags of shopping in the evening is a fairly minor frustration compared to complete jams that occur at least a few times a month. Picture several cars, vans and lorries stuck from both directions with zero pull in spaces available, all needing to reverse. This is quite a sight to behold and a real risk of accident/injury and cars damaged.

Older residents will suffer the most and they are probably not even aware of this application. What about carers, families, ambulances and mobility? There is a general lack of awareness and nobody knows about the application and were horrified when they heard about it. Wider consultation is justified due to the ripple effect all the way along Central Avenue. The report implies that the impact is neutral due to snooker club members but the ebb and flow is not a constant demand with 24/7 cars and visitors. The provision is misleading and inadequate and the highway assessment fails to grasp the day-to-day reality.

The qualities and reputation of the property developers is not relevant: misery and frustration of overcrowding is.